

2015 - 2016

# ENVIRONMENTAL SCORECARD

Your tool to hold your elected officials accountable

**WASHINGTON**  
**CONSERVATION**  
V O T E R S



# About the Scorecard



Julie Colehour, Board President

WCV plays a key role in turning public support for environmental protection into action at the state and local level. We work to elect environmental champions to office, hold our elected officials accountable for their actions, and build Washington's environmental movement into a powerful political force. We count on supporters like you across Washington to fuel this environmental movement. The strength of our members powers us to elect pro-environmental candidates to local and statewide office. Learn about how you can be part of this movement at [wcvoters.org/giving](http://wcvoters.org/giving).

The Washington Conservation Voters' Environmental Scorecard is your non-partisan tool that shines a light on whether your state legislators voted for clean air, clean water, and a healthy environment for all.

Since 1992, Washington Conservation Voters has provided a biannual Environmental Scorecard, a trusted guide that gives voters a snapshot of how state legislators performed on the leading environmental issues and public health issues of the day.

Despite the hard work of environmental champions in the House and Senate, this year's Scorecard highlights the political divide in the state legislature. The legislature can and must do better. When looking at this year's scores, the divide between the House and Senate is simply too far apart if we are truly going to fight climate pollution and create a healthier future for our families. Poll after poll shows the majority of Washingtonians want the government to protect our clean air, water, and forests, while accelerating the transition to cleaner energy.

The Scorecard covers the 2015 and 2016 legislative sessions and includes 10 votes in the Senate and 11 votes in the House. Of the 10 Senate bills used in the Scorecard,

9 were bills that would have undermined environmental protections. In the House, of the 11 bills included, 9 were solutions prioritized by the environmental community. Votes scored include implementing clean energy solutions and climate action, cleaning up toxic pollution, protecting Puget Sound and rivers and lakes around the state, and reducing toxic chemicals in everyday products.

We do have a growing force of environmental leaders in Olympia, including Governor Jay Inslee, who are working tirelessly to grow our clean energy economy and protect our air and water. We will continue to fight to ensure all elected leaders work as tirelessly as those individuals.

#### How are legislators scored?

On each bill, a legislator took either a pro- or anti-environment vote and received one point for every pro-environment bill that they voted on. That number is divided by the total number of bills that were scored to find their percentage.

**WASHINGTON  
CONSERVATION  
VOTERS**

[wcvoters.org/scorecard](http://wcvoters.org/scorecard)



Support



Oppose

## ESSB 5991

Tapping toxic cleanup and prevention funding for transportation



With more than 5,700 sites awaiting cleanup and billions in pollution prevention needs, every dollar of dedicated funding for toxic cleanups and prevention is already accounted for. To make matters more difficult, low oil prices mean this important fund is in an unprecedented crisis. This bill would have diverted already limited toxic cleanup and prevention funding to pay for transportation projects that are historically funded by the gas tax.

February 27, 2015  
Pass (27-22-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## ESSB 5994

Weakening shoreline protections for transportation projects



The Shorelines Management Act (SMA) was created by the Legislature to safeguard our state's shorelines from harm due to development, including road and bridge construction. This bill removed the requirement for state-led transportation projects to obtain SMA permits, thus placing our shorelines at risk of degradation such as loss of habitat and water quality impacts.

February 27, 2015  
Pass (30-19-0-0) | Passed in the Senate and the House, signed by Governor

## SB 5094

Incremental electricity from hydro that undermines the state clean energy law I-937



With more than 70% of the state's electricity already coming from hydropower, the intent of I-937 was to diversify Washington's renewable resources so we would be prepared to meet current and future needs with modern, clean, and reliable energy technologies. This bill would have allowed utilities to count incremental hydropower from federal dams toward their obligation, weakening investments in new renewable energy sources. With more frequent and intense droughts expected due to climate change and aging dam infrastructure, hydropower may become less reliable and diversifying our energy sources now ensures an affordable, clean, and predictable energy supply in the future.

March 6, 2015  
Pass (29-20-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## SB 5735

Rewriting the voter-approved clean energy law I-937



Approved by voters in 2006, I-937 is our state's most effective economic development tool for expanding clean energy technologies. This bill would have dramatically changed the definition of renewable energy to include unrelated projects, such as fuel switching in ferry vessels, the installation of EV charging stations, and carbon sequestration. While these may be good projects, they are beyond the scope of what 937 was designed to encourage, and would have fundamentally weakened this successful law, which has generated billions of dollars in new, clean, and renewable energy sources and created thousands of good jobs in communities across the state.

March 9, 2015  
Pass (26-23-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## SB 5735 Amendment #207

Human activity and climate change



Science overwhelmingly proves that human activity, specifically the burning of fossil fuels like oil and coal, significantly contributes to climate change and its many devastating impacts. This amendment would have failed to acknowledge the science and would have added ambiguous language that failed to directly connect human activity as a contributor to climate change.

March 9, 2015  
Pass (26-23-0-0) | This amendment did pass but the bill died in the House

## E2SSB 5057

Insufficiently enhancing oil transportation safety



Rapid changes and new risks from the volume and type of dangerous crude oil moving through our communities and across our waterways required strong legislative action. This bill failed to include information on the risks to local communities, basic oil transportation safety measures including financial requirements to ensure companies moving oil can pay for clean up; tug escorts for barges; rail inspections; and an increase in the barrel tax to support additional regulatory oversight needs.

March 9, 2015  
Pass (26-23-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, strengthened and passed in the House then died in the Senate

## ESSB 5407

Reducing water flows for people and the environment



This bill would have resulted in dividing a local community to make a false choice of water for fish or people. Specifically, the bill would not have allowed the state to consider connections between groundwater and surface streams when making water resource management decisions. As a result, senior water rights and species like salmon would be at risk of losing precious water needed to thrive.

March 11, 2015  
Pass (26-23-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## SB 5094

Expanding qualified hydro projects and undermining effectiveness of I-937



Since 70% of power in Washington already comes from hydro-electric resources, this bill would have delayed investment in the next generation of renewable energy technologies and non-hydro renewables. As a result, the state wouldn't be fully prepared to meet current and future needs and mitigate risk in times of drought. A change of this magnitude needs to be a part of a broader balanced legislative package to ensure Washington's energy resources are diversified and new renewable resource development is achieved.

February 16, 2016  
Pass (30-18-0-1) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## ESSB 6215

Changing water rights for agricultural uses



This bill would have resulted in harm to salmon and existing water rights holders by allowing municipal water suppliers, including irrigation districts, to convert agricultural water rights into municipal water rights without any review by the Department of Ecology to determine if they are valid or if that change would impact other water users and environmental needs.

February 17, 2016  
Pass (27-21-1-0) | Passed in the Senate, died in the House

## ESSB 6248

Setting up funds for cleanup as we move away from coal power



An important step in moving away from coal power is creating a fair and just transition from out-of-state sources like the Colstrip coal plants in Montana, including clean up. The passage of this bill provides a financing mechanism to pay for clean-up costs when Colstrip units 1 and 2 are decommissioned. WCV and our environmental partners joined with Puget Sound Energy (PSE), a majority owner in Colstrip, to support this good bill. At our joint request the Governor vetoed a section of the bill which would prohibit PSE from using the fund if the impacted plants close before 2022.

February 17, 2016  
Pass (42-7-0-0) | Passed in the Senate and the House, signed by the Governor

# SENATE | Votes & Scores

District	Name	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESSB 5991	ESSB 5994	SB 5094	SB 5735	SB 5735 Amd. # 207	E2SSB 5057	ESSB 5407	SB 5094	ESSB 6215	ESSB 6248
					Feb 27, 2015 Pass (27-22-0-0)	Feb 27, 2015 Pass (30-19-0-0)	Mar 6, 2015 Pass (29-20-0-0)	Mar 9, 2015 Pass (26-23-0-0)	Mar 9, 2015 Pass (26-23-0-0)	Mar 9, 2015 Pass (26-23-0-0)	Mar 11, 2015 Pass (26-23-0-0)	Feb 16, 2016 Pass (30-18-0-1)	Feb 17, 2016 Pass (27-21-1-0)	Feb 17, 2016 Pass (42-7-0-0)
1	McAuliffe, Rosemary	D	100%	92%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Becker, Randi	R	0%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Billig, Andy	D	90%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
4	Padden, Mike	R	0%	10%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Mullet, Mark	D	100%	92%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
6	Baumgartner, Michael	R	10%	20%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
7	Dansel, Brian	R	10%	7%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
8	Brown, Sharon	R	0%	4%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Schoesler, Mark	R	10%	13%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
10	Bailey, Barbara	R	10%	20%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
11	Hasegawa, Bob	D	90%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
12	Parlette, Linda Evans	R	10%	23%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
13	Warnick, Judy	R	10%	10%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
14	King, Curtis	R	10%	14%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
15	Honeyford, Jim	R	0%	6%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Hewitt, Mike	R	0%	17%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
17	Benton, Don	R	10%	26%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
18	Rivers, Ann	R	0%	5%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Hatfield, Brian	D	14%	41%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office
19	Takko, Dean	D	33%	72%	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	✗	✗	✓
20	Braun, Jon	R	10%	5%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
21	Lias, Marko	D	80%	92%	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Fraser, Karen	D	100%	95%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

District	Name	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESSB 5991	ESSB 5994	SB 5094	SB 5735	SB 5735 Amd. # 207	E2SSB 5057	ESSB 5407	SB 5094	ESSB 6215	ESSB 6248
23	Rolfes, Christine	D	100%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
24	Hargrove, James (Jim)	D	50%	54%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
25	Dammeier, Bruce	R	10%	15%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
26	Angel, Jan	R	10%	15%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
27	Darneille, Jeannie	D	90%	94%	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	O'Ban, Steve	R	10%	10%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
29	Conway, Steve	D	80%	86%	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
30	Miloscia, Mark	R	20%	20%	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
31	Roach, Pam	R	20%	24%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
32	Chase, Maralyn	D	70%	89%	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
33	Keiser, Karen	D	100%	92%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	*	✓	✓
34	Nelson, Sharon	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Sheldon, Tim	D	0%	28%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
36	Carlyle, Reuven	D	100%	94%	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office	✓	✓
36	Kohl-Welles, Jeanne	D	100%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Not in office	Not in office	Not in office
37	Jayapal, Pramila	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
38	McCoy, John	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
39	Pearson, Kirk	R	10%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
40	Ranker, Kevin	D	100%	95%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
41	Litzow, Steve	R	70%	64%	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓
42	Ericksen, Doug	R	20%	6%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
43	Pedersen, Jamie	D	100%	98%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	*	✓
44	Hobbs, Steve	D	70%	62%	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
45	Hill, Andy	R	40%	47%	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓
46	Frockt, David	D	100%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
47	Fain, Joe	R	20%	32%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓
48	Habib, Cyrus	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
49	Cleveland, Annette	D	100%	92%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓



Support



Oppose

## HOUSE | Bill Descriptions

**ESSB 1449**

Concerning oil transportation safety



Rapid and dramatic changes to our oil transportation system put our communities and our waterways at greater risk of spills and accidents. This version of the Governor-request bill took strong action to modernize our oil transportation safety system by requiring public disclosure of oil transportation information (e.g. the amount and type of oil, mode of transport, oil spills), authorizing proven oil spill prevention measures (e.g. tug escorts for barges), and expanding financial responsibility requirements to rail. The bill also raises revenue to fund current needs and implementation of the tools in the bill, such as oversight of all modes of transporting oil, railroad inspections for private crossings, and response planning.

March 5, 2015

Pass (60-38-0-0) | A weakened version of this bill later passed in the Senate and the House and was signed by the Governor

**HB 1793**

Local solutions for water supply problems



This bill would have allowed local communities to prioritize creative new solutions to solve water supply problems in rural areas and allow for local development to move forward without harming salmon runs in areas where water is scarce.

March 5, 2015

Pass (53-45-0-0) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**E2SHB 1472**

Reducing toxics in household products



This bill would have required state agencies to develop chemical action plans and required certain manufacturers using harmful toxic chemicals to carry out an assessment of safer alternatives.

March 11, 2015

Pass (63-35-0-0) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**E2SHB 1472  
Amendment #266**

Weakened protections from harmful chemicals



The underlying bill, E2SHB 1472, gave the state authority to move proactively to address threats posed by toxic chemicals. This amendment would have weakened the ability of the bill to identify and take action on chemicals that harm public health and the environment.

March 11, 2015

Tie (49-49-0-0) | We opposed Representative Shea's amendment and defeated this amendment

**E2SSB 5057**

Insufficiently enhancing oil transportation safety



Rapid changes and new risks from the volume and type of dangerous crude oil moving through our communities and across our waterways required strong legislative action. This bill failed to include information on the risks to local communities, basic oil transportation safety measures including financial requirements to ensure companies moving oil can pay for clean up; tug escorts for barges; rail inspections; and an increase in the barrel tax that reflects additional regulatory oversight needs.

April 14, 2015

Pass (58-40-0-0) | Passed in the Senate, strengthened and passed in the House then died in the Senate

**E2SHB 1174**

Toxic-Free Kids &amp; Families Act



This bill would have banned five toxic flame retardants found on the state's "chemicals of high concern to children" list and grant limited banning authority to the appropriate regulatory agency to prevent the use of regrettable substitutions.

April 29, 2015

Pass (92-3-0-3) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**ESHB 1571**

Concerning reuse and recycle of paint



This bill would have created a fair and convenient paint take-back program established by paint manufacturers and would have greatly increased reuse and recycling of leftover latex paint and proper disposal of toxic oil-based paint throughout the state.

February 10, 2016

Pass (51-46-0-0) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**ESHB 2430**

Preserving water quality by updating water conservation standards



To help save water, energy, and reduce utility bills, this bill would update state requirements to ensure that new pumping fixtures are highly efficient.

February 12, 2016

Pass (50-45-0-2) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**ESHB 1100**

Increasing appliance energy efficiency standards.



This bill would have adopted battery charging efficiency standards currently in place in California, which would save Washington consumers money.

February 12, 2016

Pass (55-40-0-2) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**ESHB 2346**

Promoting renewable energy production



Washington's talented workforce and legacy of innovation means that we are uniquely positioned to be an economic leader for developing solar energy. Our state currently provides tax incentives for the production of community, residential, and commercial solar projects. This bill would have temporarily extended those incentives, providing a critical boost to an industry that spurs local job creation and reduces carbon emissions. This bill would also provide guidance for renewable energy system component recycling to ensure smart and sustainable management for solar equipment.

February 16, 2016

Pass (77-20-0-1) | Passed in the House, died in the Senate

**ESB 6166**

Allowing old electricity sources to count as new renewable energy technology



By allowing power from recent improvements to an old biomass facility to count towards any utility's I-937 compliance, this bill would have undermined the acquisition of new renewable energy, as required under I-937. A change of this magnitude needs to be a part of a broader balanced legislative package to ensure Washington's energy resources are diversified and new renewable resource development is achieved. Fortunately, while this bill passed both the Senate and the House, Governor Inslee vetoed it as we requested.





March 4, 2016

Pass (66-31-0-1) | Passed in the Senate and the House and vetoed by the Governor

# HOUSE | Votes & Scores

District	Name	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESHB 1449	HB 1793	E2SHB 1472	E2SHB 1472 Amd. # 266	E2SSB 5057	E2SHB 1174	ESHB 1571	ESHB 2430	2ESHB 1100	2ESHB 2346	ESB 6166
					Mar 5, 2015 Pass (60-38-0-0)	Mar 5, 2015 Pass (53-45-0-0)	Mar 11, 2015 Pass (63-35-0-0)	Mar 11, 2015 Tie (49-49-0-0)	Apr 14, 2015 Pass (58-40-0-0)	Apr 29, 2015 Pass (92-3-0-3)	Feb 10, 2016 Pass (51-46-0-0)	Feb 12, 2016 Pass (50-45-0-2)	Feb 12, 2016 Pass (55-40-0-2)	Feb 16, 2016 Pass (77-20-0-1)	Mar 4, 2016 Pass (66-31-0-1)
1	Moscoso, Luis	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
1	Stanford, Derek	D	100%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
2	Barkis, Andrew	R	0%	0%	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	✗	✗
2	Hunt, Graham	R	14%	24%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	■	■	■	■
2	Wilcox, J.T.	R	18%	18%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
3	Ormsby, Timm	D	100%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
3	Riccelli, Marcus	D	91%	95%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
4	McCaslin, Bob	R	9%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
4	Shea, Matt	R	18%	11%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
5	Magendanz, Chad	R	27%	20%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
5	Rodne, Jay	R	9%	35%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
6	Holy, Jeff	R	18%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
6	Parker, Kevin	R	9%	16%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Kretz, Joel	R	18%	14%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
7	Short, Shelly	R	18%	17%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
8	Haler, Larry	R	18%	17%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
8	Klippert, Brad	R	18%	10%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
9	Dye, Mary	R	0%	0%	■	■	■	■	■	■	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
9	Fagan, Susan	R	0%	7%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	*	■	■	■	■	■
9	Schmick, Joe	R	9%	11%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
10	Hayes, Dave	R	36%	18%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
10	Smith, Norma	R	55%	40%	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗
11	Bergquist, Steve	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

District	Name	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESHB 1449	HB 1793	E2SHB 1472	E2SHB 1472 Amd. # 266	E2SSB 5057	E2SHB 1174	ESHB 1571	ESHB 2430	2ESHB 1100	2ESHB 2346	ESB 6166
					✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
11	Hudgins, Zack	D	100%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
12	Condotta, Cary	R	18%	14%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
12	Hawkins, Brad	R	18%	16%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
13	Dent, Tom	R	9%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
13	Manweller, Matt	R	18%	9%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
14	Johnson, Norm	R	22%	15%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	*	*	✓	✗
14	McCabe, Gina	R	27%	27%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
15	Chandler, Bruce	R	0%	12%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
15	Taylor, David	R	9%	11%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Nealey, Terry	R	18%	15%	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
16	Walsh, Maureen	R	27%	26%	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
17	Harris, Paul	R	27%	16%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
17	Wilson, Lynda	R	27%	27%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
18	Pike, Liz	R	9%	5%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
18	Vick, Brandon	R	9%	5%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
19	Blake, Brian	D	91%	69%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
19	Rossetti, JD	D	80%	80%	■	■	■	■	■	■	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
19	Takko, Dean	D	83%	80%	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	■	■	■	■	■
20	DeBolt, Richard	R	27%	16%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
20	Orcutt, Ed	R	18%	12%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
21	Ortiz-Self, Lillian	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
21	Peterson, Strom	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Hunt, Sam	D	100%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
22	Reykdal, Chris	D	91%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
23	Appleton, Sherry	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
23	Hansen, Drew	D	91%	92%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
24	Tharinger, Steve	D	91%	90%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
24	Van De Wege, Kevin	D	91%	90%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
25	Stambaugh, Melanie	R	45%	45%	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗

-  Pro-environment vote
-  Anti-environment vote
-  Not in office
-  Excused

District	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESHB 1449	HB 1793	E2SHB 1472	E2SHB 1472 Amd. # 266	E2SSB 5057	E2SHB 1174	ESHB 1571	ESHB 2430	2ESHB 1100	2ESHB 2346	ESB 6166
25	Zeiger, Hans	R	27%	32%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
26	Caldier, Michell	R	45%	45%	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
26	Young, Jesse	R	36%	47%	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
27	Fey, Jake	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
27	Jinkins, Laurie	D	100%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Kilduff, Christine	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
28	Muri, Dick	R	27%	41%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
29	Kirby, Steve	D	91%	86%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
29	Sawyer, David	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
30	Gregory, Carol	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	■	■	■	■	■
30	Hickel, Teri	R	40%	40%	■	■	■	■	■	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
30	Kochmar, Linda	R	45%	48%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
31	Hurst, Christopher	D	82%	64%	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
31	Stokesbary, Drew	R	18%	18%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
32	Kagi, Ruth	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
32	Ryu, Cindy	D	91%	97%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
33	Gregerson, Mia	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
33	Orwall, Tina	D	100%	98%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Cody, Eileen	D	100%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
34	Fitzgibbon, Joe	D	100%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
35	Griffey, Dan	R	27%	27%	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
35	MacEwen, Drew C.	R	13%	6%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	*	*	*	✗
36	Carlyle, Reuven	D	100%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	■	■	■	■	■
36	Frame, Noel	D	100%	100%	■	■	■	■	■	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
36	Tarleton, Gael	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
37	Pettigrew, Eric	D	91%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
37	Santos, Sharon Tomiko	D	90%	82%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	*	✓	✓	✓	✗
38	Robinson, June	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
38	Sells, Mike	D	91%	98%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗

District	Party	2015-2016 score	Lifetime score	ESHB 1449	HB 1793	E2SHB 1472	E2SHB 1472 Amd. # 266	E2SSB 5057	E2SHB 1174	ESHB 1571	ESHB 2430	2ESHB 1100	2ESHB 2346	ESB 6166
39	Kristiansen, Dan	R	18%	9%	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
39	Scott, Elizabeth	R	10%	12%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	*
40	Lytton, Kristine	D	100%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
40	Morris, Jeff	D	91%	81%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
41	Clibborn, Judy	D	91%	90%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
41	Senn, Tana	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
42	Buys, Vincent	R	18%	6%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
42	Van Werven, Luanne	R	18%	18%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
43	Chopp, Frank	D	91%	89%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
43	Walkinshaw, Brady	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
44	Dunshee, Hans	D	100%	96%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
44	Harmsworth, Mark	R	18%	18%	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
45	Goodman, Roger	D	100%	98%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
45	Springer, Larry	D	91%	88%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
46	Farrell, Jessyn	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
46	Pollet, Gerry	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
47	Hargrove, Mark	R	0%	7%	✗	✗	✗	✗	*	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
47	Sullivan, Pat	D	91%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
48	Hunter, Ross	D	100%	94%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	■	■	■	■	■
48	Kuderer, Patty	D	100%	100%	■	■	■	■	■	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
48	McBride, Joan	D	100%	100%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
49	Moeller, Jim	D	91%	93%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗
49	Wylie, Sharon	D	100%	95%	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

## ABOUT WCV

Washington Conservation Voters is the statewide political voice for the environment. We work to elect environmentally responsible candidates to state and local offices. Working with our allies in the community, we advocate for strong environmental policies and hold our elected officials accountable during the legislative session. Through our political work we strengthen laws that safeguard the health of our communities, the beauty of our state, and our economic future.

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Julie Colehour, Board Chair

David Bricklin

Rod Brown

Maggie Coon

Linda Cornfield

Julie Davis

Peter Goldman

Ken Lederman

David Namura

Bill Pope

Nancy Ritzenthaler

Ron Sher

Jim Timmons

## SCORECARD COMPILED BY

Joan Crooks, CEO

Shannon Murphy, President

Lennon Bronsema, Political Director

Clifford Traisman, State Lobbyist

## SCORECARD PRODUCED BY

Natalie Jamerson, Communications Manager





**WASHINGTON**  
**CONSERVATION**  
**V O T E R S**

1402 Third Ave, Suite 1400  
Seattle WA 98101

[wcvoters.org/scorecard](http://wcvoters.org/scorecard)